

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Acticss: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS (S. Box. 1950

Alexandria Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/049,590	06/06/2002	Sami Uskela	4925-210PUS	9705
27799 7	11/01/2006		EXAM	INER
COHEN, PONTANI, LIEBERMAN & PAVANE			GOLD, AVI M	
551 FIFTH AV	ENUE			
SUITE 1210			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
NEW YORK	NV 10176		2157	

DATE MAILED: 11/01/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/049,590	USKELA ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Avi Gold	2157		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the d	correspondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>11 At</u> 2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)□ This     3)□ Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro			
Disposition of Claims				
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-38</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-38</u> is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.			
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>				
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5)  Notice of Informal f 6)  Other:			

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

This action is responsive to the amendment filed on August 11, 2006. Claims 1-38 were amended. Claims 1-38 are pending.

### Response to Amendment

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-7, 10-22, and 25-38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Satran et al., U.S. Patent No. 6,430,183, further in view of Stapleton et al., U.S. Patent No. 6,175,875.

Satran teaches the invention as claimed including transmission networks of the type wherein a plurality of transmitters are transmitting streams of data frames over a broadband channel to a plurality of receivers (see abstract).

Regarding claim 1, Satran teaches a method in a packet-switched network for supplying data packets to receivers (4a-c) belonging to a multicast group, comprising the steps of:

Art Unit: 2157

receivers belonging to a multicast group in a packet-switched network and specific parameters of the receivers(col. 4, lines 48-60, Satran discloses address templates for filtering);

receiving data packets from a sender (col. 3, lines 31-39, Satran discloses data transmitted from a host computer);

buffering out of the data packets multicast data packets having a destination address which is a multicast address of the multicast group (col. 4, lines 48-60, Satran discloses the data transmitted being part of a multicast);

searching based on the multicast address to determine addresses of receivers of the multicast group indicated by the multicast address and the specific parameters of the receivers (col. 4, lines 48-60, Satran discloses address templates for filtering, col. 5, lines 36-43. Satran discloses a receiver searching for a particular multicast address):

filtering the multicast data packets accordance with the specific parameters for each receiver of the multicast group to obtain filtered multicast data packets (col. 5, lines 16-35, Satran discloses filtering done with a receiver specific parameter); and

supplying the filtered multicast data packets to the addresses of the receivers (col. 5, lines 33-35, Satran discloses a filtered data block received at an address).

Satran fails to teach the limitation further including storing tables of addresses of receivers and searching the tables based on the multicast address.

However, Stapleton teaches the transmission of multicast communications or other high volume traffic through a network (see abstract). Stapleton teaches the use of a table that stores multicast communication addresses (col. 7, lines 4-25).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Satran in view of Stapleton to store tables of addresses of receivers and search the tables based on the multicast address. One would be motivated to do so because a table is an efficient and convenient way to store information.

Regarding claim 2, Satran teaches the method of claim 1, wherein the specific parameters indicate a certain content of data packets that is not to be received by a specific receiver (col. 4, lines 48-60).

Regarding claim 3, Satran teaches the method of claim 1, wherein the specific parameters indicate a data amount of a certain content in data packets which is not to be received by a specific receiver (col. 7, lines 58-66, Satran discloses a block size that needs to be reached).

Regarding claim 4, Satran teaches the method of claim 2, wherein the certain content is filtered out during the filtering (col. 5, lines 15-43).

Regarding claim 5, Satran teaches the method of claim 2, wherein the specific parameters are dependent on receiver conditions (col. 5, lines 15-43).

Regarding claim 6, Satran teaches a method, comprising:

Art Unit: 2157

receivers belonging to a multicast group in a packet-switched network and specific parameters of the receivers (col. 4, lines 48-60);

receiving data packets from a sender (col. 3, lines 31-39);

buffering out of the data packets multicast data packets having a destination address which is a multicast address of a multicast group (col. 4, lines 48-60);

searching based on the multicast address to determine addresses of receivers of the multicast group indicated by the multicast address and the specific parameters of the receivers (col. 4, lines 48-60, , col. 5, lines 36-43);

filtering the addresses in accordance with the specific parameters to obtain filtered receiver addresses (col. 5, lines 16-35); and

supplying the multicast data packets to the filtered receiver addresses (col. 5, lines 33-35).

Satran fails to teach the limitation further including storing tables of addresses of receivers and searching the tables based on the multicast address.

However, Stapleton teaches the use of a table that stores multicast communication addresses (col. 7, lines 4-25).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Satran in view of Stapleton to store tables of addresses of receivers and search the tables based on the multicast address. One would be motivated to do so because a table is an efficient and convenient way to store information.

Regarding claim 7, Satran teaches the method of claim 6, wherein the buffering step further includes:

detecting contents and a data amount of data packets, and wherein the filtering further includes (col. 4, lines 48-60):

filtering the determined addresses in accordance with detected results (col. 5, lines 16-35).

Regarding claim 10, Satran teaches the method of claim 7, wherein the specific parameters indicate a certain content of data packets that is not to be received by a specific receiver (col. 7, lines 58-66).

Regarding claim 11, Satran teaches the method of claim 7, wherein the specific parameters indicate a certain data amount of data packets which is not to be received by a specific receiver (col. 7, lines 58-66).

Regarding claim 12, Satran teaches the method of claim 10, wherein when the certain content is detected in the detecting step the address of the specific receiver is filtered out during the filtering step (col. 5, lines 15-43).

Regarding claim 13, Satran teaches the method of claim 11, wherein when the certain data amount is detected in the detecting step the address of the specific receiver is filtered out during the filtering step col. 5, lines 15-43).

Art Unit: 2157

Regarding claim 14, Satran teaches the method of claim 8, wherein the specific parameters are dependent on receiver conditions (col. 5, lines 15-43).

Regarding claim 15, Satran teaches an apparatus, comprising:

a control unit configured to store addresses of receivers belonging to a multicast group in a packet-switched network and specific parameters of the receivers; and

a routing unit configured to receive data packets from a sender and buffer multicast data packets out of the data packets, the multicast data packets having a destination address which is a multicast address of a multicast group;

wherein the control unit is configured to communicate with the routing unit to determine the addresses of the receivers of the multicast group indicated by the multicast address and the specific parameters of the receivers by searching based on the multicast address, designate filters for each receiver of the multicast group in accordance with the specific parameters and to supply the addresses and filters to the routing unit; and

wherein the routing unit is configured to filter the multicast data packets with the filters for each receiver of the multicast group to obtain filtered multicast data packets and to supply the filtered multicast data packets to the addresses of the receivers of the multicast group (col. 3, lines 31-39; col. 4, lines 48-60; col. 5, lines 15-43).

Satran fails to teach the limitation further including storing tables of addresses of receivers and searching the tables based on the multicast address.

Art Unit: 2157

However, Stapleton teaches the use of a table that stores multicast communication addresses (col. 7, lines 4-25).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Satran in view of Stapleton to store tables of addresses of receivers and search the tables based on the multicast address. One would be motivated to do so because a table is an efficient and convenient way to store information.

Regarding claim 16, Satran teaches the apparatus of claim 15, where the specific parameters indicate a certain content of data packets that is not to be received by the specific receiver (col. 7, lines 58-66).

Regarding claim 17, Satran teaches the apparatus of claim 15, wherein the specific parameters indicate a data amount certain content in data packets which data amount is not to be received by a specific receiver (col. 7, lines 58-66).

Regarding claim 18, Satran teaches the apparatus of claim 16, wherein the certain content is filtered out by the routing unit (col. 5, lines 15-43).

Regarding claim 19, Satran teaches the apparatus of claim 16, wherein the specific parameters are dependent on receiver conditions (col. 5, lines 15-43).

Art Unit: 2157

Regarding claim 20, Satran teaches the apparatus of claim 15, wherein the control means determines the receiver addresses and specific parameters via tables stored in the control unit (col. 5, lines 26-35, Satran discloses an address field stored in a bitmap).

Regarding claim 21, Satran teaches an apparatus, comprising:

a control unit configured to store addresses of receivers belonging to a multicast group in a packet-switched network and specific parameters of the receivers; and

a routing unit configured to receive data packets from a sender and buffer multicast data packets out of the data packets, the multicast data packets having a destination address which is a multicast address of a multicast group; and

wherein the control unit is configured to communicate with the routing unit to determine the addresses of the receivers of the multicast group indicated by the multicast address and specific parameters of the receivers by searching based on the multicast address, designate filters for each receiver address of the multicast group in accordance with the specific parameters and supply the addresses and designated filters to the routing unit; and

wherein the routing unit is configured to filter the address of the receivers of the multicast group with the filters for each receiver of the multicast group to obtain filtered receiver addresses, and supply the multicast data packets to the filtered receiver addresses (col. 3, lines 31-39; col. 4, lines 48-60; col. 5, lines 15-43).

Art Unit: 2157

Satran fails to teach the limitation further including storing tables of addresses of receivers and searching the tables based on the multicast address.

However, Stapleton teaches the use of a table that stores multicast communication addresses (col. 7, lines 4-25).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Satran in view of Stapleton to store tables of addresses of receivers and search the tables based on the multicast address. One would be motivated to do so because a table is an efficient and convenient way to store information.

Regarding claim 22, Satran teaches the apparatus of claim 21, wherein the routing unit detects contents and a data amount of data packets and communicates the results to the control unit which designates the filters in accordance with these results (col. 7, lines 58-66).

Regarding claim 25, Satran teaches the apparatus of claim 22, wherein the specific parameters indicate a certain content of data packets that is not to be received by a specific receiver (col. 7, lines 58-66).

Regarding claim 26, Satran teaches the apparatus of claim 22, wherein the specific parameters indicate a certain data amount of data packets which is not to be received by a specific receiver (col. 7, lines 58-66).

Regarding claim 27, Satran teaches the apparatus of claim 25, wherein when the certain content is detected by the routing unit the address of the specific receiver is filtered out by the routing unit (col. 7, lines 58-66).

Regarding claim 28, Satran teaches the apparatus of claim 26, wherein when the certain data amount is detected by the routing unit the address of the specific receiver is filtered out by the routing unit (col. 7, lines 58-66).

Regarding claim 29, Satran teaches the apparatus of claim 23, wherein the specific parameters are dependent on receiver conditions (col. 5, lines 15-43).

Regarding claim 30, Satran teaches the apparatus of claim 21, wherein the control unit determines the receiver addresses and specific parameters via tables stored in the control unit (col. 5, lines 26-35).

Regarding claim 31, Satran teaches the method of claim 3, wherein the certain content is filtered out during the filtering (col. 5, lines 15-43).

Regarding claim 32, Satran teaches the method of claim 3, wherein the specific parameters are dependent on receiver conditions (col. 5, lines 15-43).

Regarding claim 33, Satran teaches the method of claim 10, wherein the specific parameters are dependent on receiver conditions (col. 5, lines 15-43).

Regarding claim 34, Satran teaches the method of claim 11, wherein the specific parameters are dependent on receiver conditions (col. 5, lines 15-43).

Regarding claim 35, Satran teaches the apparatus of claim 17, wherein the certain content is filtered out by the routing unit (col. 5, lines 15-43).

Regarding claim 36, Satran teaches the apparatus of claim 17, wherein the specific parameters are dependent on receiver conditions (col. 5, lines 15-43).

Regarding claim 37, Satran teaches the apparatus of claim 25, wherein the specific parameters are dependent on receiver conditions (col. 5, lines 15-43).

Regarding claim 38, Satran teaches the apparatus of claim 26, wherein the specific parameters are dependent on receiver conditions (col. 5, lines 15-43).

3. Claims 8, 9, 23, and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Satran and Stapleton further in view of Haggerty et al., U.S. Patent No. 6,331,983.

Application/Control Number: 10/049,590 Page 13

Art Unit: 2157

Satran teaches the invention substantially as claimed including transmission networks of the type wherein a plurality of transmitters are transmitting streams of data frames over a broadband channel to a plurality of receivers (see abstract). Stapleton teaches the invention substantially as claimed including the transmission of multicast communications or other high volume traffic through a network (see abstract).

As to claims 8, 9, 23, and 24, Satran and Stapleton teach the method and apparatus of claims 6 and 21.

Satran and Stapleton fail to teach the limitation further including a time at which no data packets are to be received or filtered.

However, Haggerty teaches a method and apparatus for establishing a connection path for multicast traffic through a switched network, and across router/switch boundaries, which conserves network bandwidth (see abstract). Haggerty teaches the use of a time-to-live (TTL) (col. 2, lines 9-15; col. 4, lines 34-54).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Satran and Stapleton in view of Haggerty to use a time at which no data packets are to be received or filtered. One would be motivated to do so because it would allow for controlled distribution of multicast packets (col. 2, lines 3-5).

### Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-38 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

### Conclusion

5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

- 6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- U.S. Pat. No. 6,055,364 to Speakman et al., because it discloses content-based filtering of multicast information.
- U.S. Pat. No. 5,933,605 to Kawano et al., because it discloses multicast messages filtered based on message content.
- U.S. Pat. No. 6,175,875 to Stapleton et al., because it discloses multicast filtering.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Avi Gold whose telephone number is 571-272-4002. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:00-5:30 (1st Friday Off).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ario Etienne can be reached on 571-272-4001. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Avi Gold

Patent Examiner

Art Unit 2157

**AMG** 

EUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER